UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,877	04/05/2004	Steven E. Strauss	Strauss 13	2669
MENDELSOHN & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUITE 405			EXAMINER	
			PARRIES, DRU M	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,877	STRAUSS, STEVEN E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DRU M. PARRIES	2836
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 D</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ✓ Claim(s) <u>13-32</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ✓ Claim(s) <u>13-15,17,18,22-25,27,28 and 32</u> is/ar 7) ✓ Claim(s) <u>16,19-21,26 and 29-31</u> is/are objecte 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. re rejected. d to.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/816,877 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed December 22, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the Applicant's assessment of the combination of the Admitted Prior Art (APA) and Brodeur, the Examiner believes their may be some confusion. To explain, the analogous "first power rail" in Brodeur, compared to (400) of APA, is reference number 31. Therefore, the voltage meters (38a&b) of the Brodeur reference are measuring the voltages (Vout1, Vout2) that are being received by the respective circuit modules (i.e. the vertical lines leading to circuit modules 402-405 of APA, Fig. 5). Brodeur teaches a regulator (32, 34, 42) that outputs power onto a power rail (31), and provides a plurality of outputs (Vout1, Vout2) to various circuit modules, and measures the output voltage to each circuit module (via 38) and based on the measured voltages, controls the regulator (via 42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13-15, 17, 18, 23-25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) and Brodeur (6,525,434). Regarding independent claims 13 and 23, APA teaches an integrated circuit comprising first and second power rails (400, 401); a first voltage regulator (500) coupled to electrically bias the first power rail with respect to the second power rail; and a plurality of circuit modules (402-404), each coupled

Art Unit: 2836

between the first and second power rails to draw power therefrom. APA fails to explicitly teach a plurality of voltage meters. Brodeur teaches a circuit similar to that of APA comprising a v first voltage regulator (32, 34, 42) that supplies power on a power rail (31) to a plurality of circuit modules (connected to Vout1 and Vout2). Brodeur teaches a plurality of voltage meters (input to negative terminal of 38a&b), each coupled to measure a voltage level received from the power rail by a respective one of the circuit modules, wherein a voltage output of the voltage regulator applied to the first power rail is controlled based on the voltage levels measured by the voltage meters so that each of the circuit modules receives a respective desired voltage level from the first power rail (Col. 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement voltage meters throughout the circuit so that the circuit modules can receive their necessary power to function properly at all times.

Regarding claims 14 and 24, APA teaches the idea of the voltage levels received from the first power rail by at least two of the circuit modules differ due to a voltage drop along the first power rail.

Regarding claims 15, 17, 18, 25 and 27-28, APA fails to explicitly teach a power manager. Brodeur teaches a power manager (38, 41) operatively coupled to the first voltage regulator and to the plurality of voltage meters, wherein the power manager is adapted to receive voltage-level measurements from the plurality of voltage meters; and control the voltage output of the first voltage regulator based on the voltage-level measurements, so that the voltage level received from the first power rail exceeds a design-voltage threshold. The power manager also controls the first voltage regulator to minimize the difference between the voltage level received from the first power rail and a desired voltage level. It would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2836

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a power manager into APA's invention to control the power being provided to the circuit modules so that they can receive the correct amount of power to function properly.

4. Claims 22 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) and Brodeur (6,525,434) as applied to claims 13 and 23 above, and further in view of Tobin et al. (5,771,240). APA and Brodeur teach an integrated circuit as described above. They fail to explicitly teach a pin to which the voltage meter readings are routed to enable external sampling. Tobin teaches the idea of having an integrated circuit comprising a pin to which various monitoring signals are routed to enable external sampling (Col. 1, lines 30-36). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a pin in APA's invention to which voltage levels measured by the voltage meters are routed to enable external sampling of the voltage levels so a manufacturer can determine if the IC is working correctly.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 16, 19-21, 26, and 29-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the references of record, either alone, or in combination, do not teach or suggest at least the limitations of:

Regarding claims 16 and 26, the voltage-level measurements being received in digital form over a digital bus; Regarding claims 19 and 29, having a second voltage regulator in parallel with the

Art Unit: 2836

first voltage regulator to also provide power to the first power rail and controlled in the same way as the first. Claims 20, 21, 30, and 31 are dependent upon claims 19 and 29.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 9:00am to 6:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms, can be reached on 571-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/816,877 Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

2-18-2009

/Albert W Paladini/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836 2/19/09